IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re:)		
)		
ATLANTIC	GULF	COMMUNITIES	CORP.,)	Case No.	01-01594
		Debtor	·)	Jointly	Administered
)		

OPINION1

This matter is before the Court on the Motion of the chapter 7 Trustee of Atlantic Gulf Communities Corporation (the "Debtor") to approve the termination of an escrow account established for the protection of New York consumers and the turnover of the remaining escrow funds to the estate. The Motion is opposed by the New York State Department of State (the "Department"). For the reasons stated below, the Court will grant the Motion in part.

I. BACKGROUND

General Development Corporation ("GDC") was incorporated in Delaware in 1928 and began homesite and community development in Florida beginning in 1955. In order to sell subdivided land to residents of New York state, GDC was required to register the land and to deposit certain funds into an escrow account (the "Escrow"). Under the terms of the Escrow Agreement dated

¹ This Opinion constitutes the findings of fact and conclusions of law of the Court pursuant to Rule 7052 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, which is made applicable to contested matters by Rule 9014 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure.

September 7, 1976, GDC was authorized to withdraw funds from the Escrow upon certification by a licensed engineer that water and sewer facilities had been built for the communities in which the registered homesites were located. (Exh. T-1 at ¶ 3.)² The Escrow was funded by GDC from a portion of the monthly payments made by the homesite buyers. Prior to 1990, GDC expended considerable funds (in excess of \$245 million according to the Debtor's books and records) in constructing water and sewer facilities.

In 1990, GDC and certain of its affiliates filed a petition under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Florida. A plan of reorganization (the "Plan") was confirmed in that case on March 27, 1992, pursuant to which GDC was renamed the Debtor. (Exh. T-14.)

During that bankruptcy case, lot purchasers were given the opportunity to trade lots in undeveloped communities for lots in developed communities which had water and sewer facilities.

(Exh. T-13 at p.72.)

The Escrow Agreement was amended several times: on May 5, 1978, to permit the Debtor to withdraw funds relating to cancelled contracts; in March, 1992, to permit distribution to lot purchasers whose contracts were rejected by the Debtor in its first bankruptcy case; and in December, 1994, to allow a distribution from the Escrow to the City of Port St. Lucie which took over the obligation of the Debtor to provide water and sewer facilities.

Subsequent to emerging from bankruptcy, the Debtor ceased selling individual lots and instead sold its remaining property on a wholesale basis to other developers. The Debtor's utility subsidiary was dissolved, and, in several instances, eminent domain proceedings were commenced which resulted in the local government authorities acquiring the Debtor's existing water and sewer facility property, together with the obligation to provide such services to the homesites. (Exh. T-10.) All the communities' utilities are now under the control of the local authorities and the Debtor has no ability to construct water or sewer facilities in those communities. (Exh. T-9.)

On May 1, 2001, the Debtor filed a voluntary petition under chapter 11 in this District. The case was subsequently converted to chapter 7 on June 18, 2002, and Michael B. Joseph was appointed the chapter 7 trustee ("the Trustee"). The Trustee entered into an agreement (the "Liquidation Agreement") with the Debtor's secured creditors (the "Lenders"), whereby the Trustee agreed to liquidate the Debtor's remaining assets and certain of the sale proceeds were made available to pay chapter 7 administrative expenses and to fund a distribution to unsecured creditors. The Liquidation Agreement was approved by the Court on November 19, 2002. Since that time, the Trustee has liquidated substantially all the assets of the estate.

On November 23, 2005, the Trustee filed the Motion of the Chapter 7 Trustee to Approve Form of Notice and for Approval of

Termination of the Escrow (the "Motion"). The Court directed the Trustee to give notice to the approximately 9,000 lot purchasers who had funds deposited into the Escrow of the Trustee's request that the Escrow be terminated and the funds turned over to the Debtor's estate for distribution to creditors. The lot purchasers were instructed to file any claim they had to the balance reflected in their individual accounts.

Approximately 350 lot purchasers objected to the Motion and/or filed a claim asserting entitlement to the escrow funds attributable to their lot. The Trustee determined that many of them were qualified for a refund from the Escrow (totaling approximately \$300,000). (Exhibits T-5 & T-6.) The Trustee seeks the balance of the Escrow (approximately \$8.5 million) for the estate. The Lenders support the Trustee's Motion and assert that their blanket lien on all the assets of the Debtor encompasses the Debtor's interest in the Escrow.

The remaining objection to the Motion was filed by the Department which disputes the Trustee's ability to terminate the Escrow and/or the Trustee's entitlement, if the Escrow is terminated, to the funds remaining in that account. An evidentiary hearing on this objection was held on October 3, 2006. Post-trial briefs were submitted by the parties on October 17, 2006. The matter is ripe for decision.

II. JURISDICTION

The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this contested matter. 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(1). This is a core matter. 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(K), (N) & (O).

III. <u>DISCUSSION</u>

A. Standing of the Department

The Trustee argues, in his post-trial brief, that the

Department does not have standing to be heard on the request for

turnover of the funds in the Escrow. He states that the

Department is not a signatory to the Escrow Agreement, nor an

intended beneficiary of the Escrow. As a result, he argues, the

Department has no right to enforce the Escrow Agreement. See,

e.q., M.E.W.N., Inc. v. Vill. of Roslyn Estates, 432 N.Y.S.2d

115, 116 (N.Y. App. Div. 1980) (concluding that where parties to

contract did not intend to benefit third party, latter had no

right to enforce the contract); Flemington Nat'l Bank & Trust Co.

v. Domler Leasing Corp., 410 N.Y.S.2d 75,77 (N.Y. App. Div. 1978)

(stating that contract must have intent to benefit third party

beneficiary for it to have right to enforce contract).

The Trustee notes that the intent of the Escrow Agreement is evident from the first page where it states:

WHEREAS, it is mutually understood and agreed by the parties to this Agreement that this Agreement is entered into at the direction of DEPARTMENT for the purpose of protecting the Purchasers of the above described subdivided lands in the event [THE DEBTOR]

fails to complete the construction of the improvements as hereinafter described, and to induce DEPARTMENT to register said subdivided lands

(Exh. T-7 at p. 1.)

The Trustee further argues that to the extent the Department seeks to protect the interests of the beneficiaries of the Escrow, the lot purchasers, that right is limited. For example, the Trustee asserts that the lot purchasers who already sold their lots can no longer have any interest in the Escrow. Others who participated in the exchange program no longer have any interest in the Escrow as they now own a lot which has utilities. Further, those lot purchasers who filed claims in this case in response to the Trustee's Motion will be paid their respective amounts from the Escrow. Therefore, the Trustee contends that the Department really has no one to represent.

The Court agrees with the Trustee to a limited extent. With respect to the lot purchasers who have filed a claim for return of their funds, the Department has no standing to be heard as they are adequately representing their own interests. With respect to the lot purchasers who have established entitlement to the funds in the Escrow, the Trustee and the Lenders agree to release of the funds to them. Though the Department does not expressly agree to this, in the past (in connection with the first bankruptcy case) it has permitted release of the Escrow funds to lot purchasers. (Exh. T-7, Amendment to Escrow Agreement dated March 18, 1992.) In this case, the evidence

established that there are 346 lot purchasers in this category who are entitled to \$292,140 from the Escrow. (Exhs. T-5 & T-6.) The Court will direct distribution of those funds from the Escrow to them.

Further, with respect to lot purchasers who have sold their lots, the Court concludes that the Department has no standing to represent them. Those lot purchasers no longer have any interest in whether water and sewer services are being provided to that lot and therefore have no further equitable interest in the Escrow. Evidence was presented that only approximately 38% of the original lot purchasers (with funds in the Escrow of \$2,392,025.16) are still the recorded owners of their lots. (Exh. L-1.) The others have apparently sold their lots. Consequently, the Court concludes that the estate is entitled to the funds to which there can be no claim by the original lot purchasers (\$3,499,161.83).

In addition, there are funds in the Escrow in excess of the funds attributable to New York lot purchasers. An analysis of the bank records by the Trustee revealed that \$2,495,470 was erroneously placed in that account and is attributable to other non-New York lot purchasers (\$2,235,397) or represents the Debtor's funds that were erroneously put into the account (\$260,073). The Department did not dispute this evidence. Accordingly, the Court will direct the release of those funds to the Trustee for distribution to creditors in accordance with the

priorities of the Bankruptcy Code.

Therefore, the amount in controversy in this case to which New York lot purchasers have a claim is really only \$2,393,525.17.3 The Court concludes that as to those lot purchasers, however, the Department does have standing to be heard. Though the Escrow Agreement does not make the Department a beneficiary of the Escrow, it does provide that the Department is to provide instructions regarding whether funds may be distributed to the Debtor from the Escrow. For example, the Escrow Agreement provides that the Department's consent is necessary to release funds when the Debtor certifies that a portion of the water and sewer facilities have been constructed. (Id. at ¶ 3.)4 More importantly, the Escrow Agreement provides that:

[I]f there be a default in completion of the above mentioned improvements, to the extent that will not entitle [THE DEBTOR] to withdraw such funds, [THE ESCROW AGENT] shall hold and pay said funds, pursuant to instructions to be given to [THE ESCROW AGENT] by the DEPARTMENT.

($\underline{\text{Id.}}$ at \P 8.) Consequently, the Court concludes that the Department does have standing under the terms of the Escrow

 $^{^3}$ These figures are as of December 31, 2004, when the Trustee analyzed the accounts. To the extent interest has been earned on the funds since that time, the interest should be allocated pro rata to the respective parties to whom the Escrow is being distributed. (See Exh. T-7 at ¶ 13.)

 $^{^4}$ The funds can be released, however, if the consent is not received within twenty days of the certification being provided to the Department. (Exh. T-7 at \P 3.)

Agreement to be heard on the issue of the distribution of the remaining Escrow funds with respect to the original lot purchasers for whom the Debtor did not provide water and sewer services.

B. Property of the Estate

The Trustee argues that the funds deposited into the Escrow are property of the Debtor's estate under section 541 and that consequently he is entitled to an order directing their turnover pursuant to section 542. The Trustee notes that the funds deposited into the Escrow were originally the Debtor's property. The Trustee contends that, under New York law, the Debtor retained an interest in the Escrow. In fact, the Trustee argues, legal title to the escrowed funds remained in the Debtor because "'under New York law legal title to property placed in escrow remains with the grantor until the occurrence of the condition specified in the escrow agreement'. Cohen v. Drexel Burnham Lambert Group, Inc. (In re Drexel Burnham Lambert Group, Inc.), 138 B.R. 687, 710 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1992) (quoting Hassett

 $^{^5}$ The parties agree that New York law applies and that under New York law an escrow was created. (Escrow Agreement at \P 10.) Under New York law,

An escrow is a written agreement that imports a legal obligation to deposit an instrument or property by the promisor with a third party to be kept by the latter in the capacity of depository or escrowee until the performance of a condition or happening of an event, which then is to be delivered by escrow agent to the promisee.

Nat'l Union Fire Ins. Co. v. Proskauer Rose Goetz & Mendelsohn, 634 N.Y.S.2d 609, 614 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1994).

v. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Greater New York (In re O.P.M. Leasing Servs., Inc.), 46 B.R. 661, 667 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1985).

See also Alexander v. Quality Leather Goods Corp., 269 N.Y.S.

499, 500 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1934) (holding that party which deposited stock into escrow retained all the rights of ownership until the purchase price was paid); Press v. Marvalon Indus., Inc., 422 F.

Supp. 346, 349 (S.D.N.Y. 1976) (noting that a party who deposits property into escrow retains a right to the property and the incidents of ownership until the escrow conditions are met);

Fisher v. New York City Dep't of Hous. Pres. and Dev. (In re Pan Am Trading Corp., S.A.), 125 B.R. 869, 878 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1991) (stating that a depositor of funds in escrow "retains a right to the funds and incidents of ownership until the conditions of the escrow agreement are fulfilled").

The Trustee notes that the Debtor was also the grantee of the Escrow because it was the Debtor to whom the funds were to be paid upon completion of the water and sewer systems. Thus, he argues the Debtor's estate has both legal title and an equitable interest in the funds. Because the purpose of the Escrow has been substantially met and the Debtor is unable to do anything further to assure its completion, the Trustee argues that the funds should be returned to the estate.

The Lenders agree and further assert that they have a security interest in all the estate's assets, including the Escrow. They argue that the Department is seeking to take their

property interest without just compensation which should not be permitted.

The Department argues to the contrary. It distinguishes the cases cited by the Trustee as dicta or not applying New York law. The Department further contends that in construing New York law, courts have consistently held that assets held in escrow are not property of a debtor's estate. See, e.g., TTS, Inc. v. Citibank, N.A. (In re TTS), 158 B.R. 583, 585-87 (D. Del. 1993) (holding that while debtor retained legal and some equitable interest in funds placed in escrow, the greater equitable interest of the other grantee compelled a conclusion that the funds were not property of the estate); Musso v. N. Y. State Higher Educ. Servs. Corp. (In re Royal Bus. Sch., Inc.), 157 B.R. 932, 941 (Bankr. E.D.N.Y. 1993) (noting that many courts hold that an escrow account may never be property of the estate, court denied turnover request of trustee where debtor had only a contingent interest in escrow account as opposed to superior equitable interest of the grantee); O.P.M. Leasing, 46 B.R. at 667-68 (concluding that property held in escrow is not property of the estate because for escrow to be valid the property must be irrevocably placed outside the grantor's control). Consequently, the Department argues, only the Debtor's contingent right to the possible return of some or all of the escrowed assets can be considered property of the estate. See, e.g., TTS, 158 B.R. at 587; <u>O.P.M. Leasing</u>, 46 B.R. at 667. <u>See also</u> 11 U.S.C. §

541(d).

The Trustee and Lenders similarly seek to distinguish the cases cited by the Department, noting that none of them involved the situation at bar: where the Debtor is both the grantor and grantee of the escrow. Being the grantor (the one who provided the funds for the escrow), the Debtor retained legal title to the funds. As the grantee (the one to whom the funds would ultimately be paid), the Debtor had an equitable interest in the funds.

The Court concludes that this is not dispositive of the issue, however. See, e.g., Creative Data Forms, Inc. v. Pa.

Minority Bus. Dev. Auth. (In re Creative Data Forms, Inc.), 72

B.R. 619, 620 (E.D. Pa. 1985) (concluding that escrow was not property of the estate although debtor was both grantor and grantee). The issue is whether the Debtor has a present right to the funds in Escrow. In this case, the Debtor was only a contingent grantee; that is, the Debtor was only entitled to the funds in the Escrow when it fulfilled the condition of constructing the water and sewer facilities.

The Department notes further that it is not correct that the Debtor holds both legal title and all equitable interest in the Escrow. Rather, it contends that the Court needs to examine the Escrow Agreement to determine exactly what rights the Debtor has to those funds. When it does so, the Department argues that the Court must conclude that the estate is not entitled to turnover

of the Escrow. It cautions that the Debtor's estate acquired no greater interest in the Escrow than the Debtor had prior to the bankruptcy filing. Drexel Burnham, 138 B.R. at 710 ("Section 541 'is not intended to expand the debtor's rights against others more than they exist at the commencement of the case'."

(citations omitted)).

The Department argues that where an escrow is established as an assurance or quarantee fund, like the one in this case, courts have consistently found that the escrowed funds are not property of the estate. See, e.g., Creative Data, 72 B.R. at 623-24 (affirming decision of bankruptcy court that escrow was not property of the estate); In re Simon, 167 F. Supp. 214, 215 (E.D.N.Y. 1958) (holding that escrow for payment of taxes and insurance was not property of the estate); Cedar Rapids Meats, Inc. v. Hager (In re Cedar Rapids Meats, Inc.), 121 B.R. 562, 567 (Bankr. N.D. Iowa 1990) (noting that while courts are divided on whether escrow funds are property of the estate, under Iowa law where escrow fund is to act as security or assurance of performance it is not property of the estate); Dynasty Express Corp. v. Kurtzman (In re Agsy, Inc.), 120 B.R. 313, 319 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1990) (holding that escrow was not property of the estate of debtor/grantee); In re Palm Beach Heights Dev. & Sales Corp., 52 B.R. 181, 183 (Bankr. S.D. Fla. 1985).

The Department asserts that the <u>Palm Beach</u> case is particularly apposite. In that case the debtor, which was

engaged in retail land installment sales, had been required to establish a \$12.6 million escrow account by the Florida Division of Land Sales to assure that the debtor completed certain drainage and road improvement work promised to the land purchasers. The Palm Beach Court concluded that the escrow fund was not property of the estate:

Said fund is a trust or escrow to assure the completion of the road and drainage improvements on the property and only upon completion of the improvements, would debtor have any interest in the fund. Any claim, contingency or chose in action against the trust fund is the property of the estate but the fund itself is not. The debtor may not have any part of said fund until such time as the debtor establishes that all prior claims in the fund have been paid and that a residuum remains to which it is entitled.

52 B.R. at 183.

The Court agrees with the Department and the majority of courts who conclude that an escrow into which a debtor puts its property (or from which the debtor is entitled to payments after satisfying a condition) is not property of the estate. Section 541(d) supports this conclusion. It provides:

Property in which the debtor holds, as of the commencement of the case, only legal title and not an equitable interest . . . becomes property of the estate . . . only to the extent of the debtor's legal title to such property, but not to the extent of any equitable interest in such property that the debtor does not hold.

11 U.S.C. § 541(d). While it is true that the Debtor in this case has some equitable interest in the Escrow, it does not hold all equitable interests. Rather the lot purchasers too have an

equitable interest in the Escrow, which was established to assure that they receive lots with water and sewer facilities. The filing of the bankruptcy case by the Debtor was not sufficient to divest the lot purchasers of their interest in the Escrow. Therefore, section 541(d) compels the conclusion that the property acquired by the estate is no greater than what the Debtor had, namely the right to receive the Escrow funds when the water and sewer facilities have been completed. See, e.g.,

Drexel Burnham, 138 B.R. at 710; Creative Data, 72 B.R. at 623.

Therefore, the Court concludes that the Escrow is not property of the estate, even though the contingent interest that the Debtor has in the Escrow is property of the estate. See, e.g., TTS, 158

B.R. at 587; O.P.M. Leasing, 46 B.R. at 667.

C. <u>Collateral Attack on Confirmation Order</u>

The Trustee further contends that it is not in breach of any obligation with respect to the construction of utilities because it was relieved of those duties as part of the Plan confirmed in the Florida bankruptcy case. (See Exh. T-14 at ¶ 20(a);

Amendment to Homesite Purchase Agreement at ¶ 4.) The Lenders agree that as a result of the Florida bankruptcy case, the Debtor altered the rights of the lot purchasers and was released from any obligation to perform under the Escrow Agreement.

During the Florida bankruptcy case, the Debtor initially established a Homesite Program whereby lot purchasers whose lots did not have utilities could switch to developed lots with

utilities. This program was approved by the Bankruptcy Court on October 26, 1990. Further, in the Debtor's Plan, lot purchasers were again given the opportunity to exchange their lots for lots in communities where utility services were available and a trust was created with at least 400 improved lots for that purpose. (See Exh. T-13 at pp 8, 72.) The Plan also provided that the Debtor and its utility subsidiary would transfer certain condemnation proceeds to fund future utility obligations to lot purchasers. (<u>Id.</u> at 72.) If utilities were not provided from that fund, any lot purchaser could elect to switch to an improved lot. Additionally, any lot purchaser had the option to be treated as a general unsecured creditor. As a result of these provisions, the Lenders contend that the Debtor's obligation to provide utility services to lot purchasers was discharged and the Escrow (like all other property of the Debtor) was re-vested in the Debtor free and clear of any claims that the lot purchasers might have to it. 11 U.S.C. § 1141 (b) & (c). Consequently, the Lenders argue that the Department's objection to the Trustee's present motion is an impermissible collateral attack on the confirmation order entered by the Florida Bankruptcy Court.

The Department disagrees with the arguments of the Trustee and Lenders and, specifically with their characterization of the parties' duties under the Escrow Agreement. The Department notes that the language of the Escrow Agreement did not create any obligation to construct utilities or even any debt on the part of

the Debtor which could be discharged in bankruptcy. Rather, the Escrow Agreement created a fund to protect the lot purchasers in the event that the Debtor failed to construct the utilities. That Agreement gave the Debtor only a contingent interest in the escrowed funds: the condition to the Debtor's entitlement to the funds was the delivery of a certificate evidencing that water and sewer facilities were available to the lot purchasers. (Exh. T-7 at \P 3.) Therefore, the Department argues that the confirmation order entered by the Florida Bankruptcy Court did not "discharge" any debt or obligation of the Debtor with respect to the Escrow. This is confirmed, the Department notes, by the fact that after the Florida bankruptcy case was closed, the Debtor consistently acted in accordance with the Department's interpretation. example, the Debtor sought the Department's authority to amend the Escrow Agreement to permit the transfer of funds from the Escrow to various governmental units in order to permit those entities to construct the required utilities. These include transfers to Hendry County and the City of Port St. Lucie in 1994 and to Charlotte County in 1998.

The Court agrees with the Department on this point. Because the Escrow was not property of the estate (see Part B above), the confirmation of the Debtor's Plan in the prior bankruptcy case did not re-vest the Escrow in the Debtor. Further, the Confirmation Order entered in the Debtor's prior bankruptcy case did not have any effect on the rights of the parties under the

Escrow Agreement. Specifically, it did not discharge the condition contained in the Escrow Agreement or give the Debtor unfettered access to the escrowed funds. There is nothing in the Plan or the Confirmation Order that purported to do so. (Exhs. T-13 & T-14.) Instead, the Disclosure Statement filed in that case stated that lot purchasers who continued to pay pursuant to their installment contracts but whose contracts were rejected or terminated by the Debtor would be entitled to a refund of their escrow funds, "subject to amending the escrow agreements to permit the release of funds from escrow." (See Exh. T-13 at p. 47.) Specifically, the Debtor's Plan did not provide that the Escrow would be released to the Debtor.

Thus, the Court concludes that the lot purchasers were not bound by the terms of that Plan to permit the release of the Escrow to the Debtor's estate. Rather the rights of the parties to the Escrow was not affected by the confirmation of that Plan (except with respect to the release of some funds as part of the transfer of property to the municipalities as specified in the Plan).

D. <u>Impossibility of Performance</u>

The Trustee further argues that the Debtor has been relieved of its obligations under the Escrow Agreement by virtue of the fact that the properties owned by the Debtor on which the water and sewer systems were to have been constructed have now all been condemned or transferred to the municipalities. Therefore, the

Trustee argues that the Debtor's performance under the Escrow Agreement is impossible and the Escrow Agreement has been terminated as a matter of law. See, e.g., A&S Trans. Co. v.

County of Nassau, 546 N.Y.S.2d 109, 111 (N.Y. App. Div. 1989)

("[T]he law of impossibility provides that performance of a contract will be excused if such performance is rendered impossible by intervening governmental activities"); Moyer v.

City of Little Falls, 510 N.Y.S.2d 813 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1986)

(granting summary judgment in favor of plaintiff where government action rendered plaintiff's performance of a contract with defendant economically unfeasible); Metpath, Inc. v. Birmingham

Fire Ins. Co. of Pa., 449 N.Y.S.2d 986, 989 (N.Y. App. Div. 1982)

("There is ample authority holding that where performance becomes impossible because of action taken by government, performance is excused.").

The Department argues that the impossibility of performance doctrine is not applicable in this case. It argues that the doctrine (also known as the frustration of purpose doctrine) is recognized as a defense to a breach of contract action; not as the basis for affirmative relief.

The doctrine of frustration of purpose is explained as follows:

Where after a contract is made, a party's principal purpose is substantially frustrated without his fault by the occurrence of an event the non-occurrence of which was a basic assumption on which the contract was made, his remaining duties to render performance are

discharged, unless the language or the circumstances indicate the contrary.

Restatement (Second) of Contracts § 265 (1981). Thus three factors are necessary to invoke the doctrine: (1) the purpose that is frustrated must be the principal purpose of the contract; (2) the frustration of that purpose must not be the fault of the party seeking to be excused from performance; and (3) the occurrence of the event must not have been foreseen at the time of the contract's formation. (Id.)

Agreement was not to build the utilities but instead was to protect the New York lot purchasers if the utilities were not built. Therefore, it asserts that the condemnation by the municipalities did not frustrate the purpose of the Escrow Agreement. Further, the Department contends that the Debtor cannot say that it was not at fault for frustrating the purpose of the Escrow Agreement as it was the Debtor itself which was largely to blame for the failure to build the water and sewer systems. Finally, the Escrow Agreement clearly contemplated that the Debtor might not construct the utilities; in fact the purpose of the Escrow Agreement was to protect the lot purchasers in that very circumstance. Therefore, the Department argues that the Debtors may not invoke the doctrine of frustration of purpose to excuse their failure to perform the Escrow Agreement.

The Court agrees with the Department. The purpose of the Escrow Agreement was to protect the lot purchasers in just this eventuality, where the Debtor failed to construct the utilities as promised. The law of impossibility is only applicable where the government action was unforeseeable. A & S, 546 N.Y.S.2d at 459. In this case, the possibility that the Debtor would not perform (either through government action or otherwise) was foreseeable, and, therefore, the purpose of the Escrow Agreement has not been frustrated.

Further, even if the purpose of the Escrow Agreement was to have the utilities constructed, the Court concludes that it is through the Debtor's own fault that they were not constructed over the many years since the lots were sold to the New York residents. In fact, the Debtor's failure to perform was not only contemplated at the time the Escrow Agreement was executed, it was the reason that the funds were escrowed. municipalities finally condemned the property or otherwise took steps to take over the water and sewer systems may mean that the Debtor cannot construct the utilities today but it does not mean that the Debtor cannot protect the New York lot purchasers as the Escrow Agreement envisioned: by making funds available to them for that purpose. It would be inequitable for the Debtor to fail to perform its obligation to construct utilities and yet receive the money that was set aside to assure that the Debtor did perform.

Additionally, under New York law the doctrine of frustration of purpose can only be used as a defense, it cannot be used as a sword as the Trustee is seeking in this case. The Trustee is not using it as a defense to an action by a lot purchaser who is seeking to force performance by the Debtor's estate of its obligation to build a water or sewer facility. Rather, the Trustee is seeking to use the doctrine offensively to recover funds which were to be used to construct those facilities even though the Debtor never performed. This is not permissible.

See, e.g., Sokoloff v. National City Bank, 204 N.Y.S. 69, 71 (N.Y. App. Div. 1924). As the Sokoloff Court stated:

This defense, however, only goes so far as to excuse the performance of an executory contract. It has never been held available for the purpose of unjustly enriching one party at the expense of the other. utmost that the defendant can urge is that it be relieved from the performance of its agreement in so far as the agreement has been rendered impossible of performance, but on no principle can it be urged that the defendant became relieved from the obligation of repayment of the amount received when through no fault on the part of the plaintiff it was unable to complete the contract. If the defense were pleaded as an excuse to an action for damages for failure further to perform the contract, a different question would arise, but here the defendant is seeking to excuse itself not from further performance of the contract, but from payment of a debt owing the plaintiff arising from the receipt of money from the plaintiff for a specific purpose which the defendant is unable to carry out, and hence there arises an obligation to repay the plaintiff.

Id. at 71 (citation omitted).

In this case, the Debtor did not build the utilities as required. Because of the condemnation proceedings and transfer

of the properties to the municipalities, the Debtor cannot now perform that obligation. Nonetheless, the Debtor (and its estate) are not entitled to retain the funds paid to them for performance that is now impossible.

E. <u>Debtor's Entitlement under Escrow Agreement</u>

The Trustee argues, nonetheless, that there is no longer any purpose to be served by the Escrow and that, consequently, it should be terminated. The Trustee contends that because it was the grantor of the funds and is the only one entitled to receive distributions of the funds, the Escrow should be disbursed to the estate.

The Department disagrees. It asserts that there is no provision in the Escrow Agreement for its termination. The Department argues that, because the Debtor is now unable to provide water and sewer facilities to the lot purchasers, the Department is entitled to direct where the Escrow funds should go.⁶ The Department asserts that the funds must be released to it for distribution to the lot purchasers (or to be escheated to the state if they cannot be found). The Trustee responds that the purpose of the Escrow was not to provide a windfall to the

Paragraph 8 of the Escrow Agreement provides: [I]f there be a default in completion of the above mentioned improvements, to the extent that will not entitle [THE DEBTOR] to withdraw such funds, [THE ESCROW AGENT] shall hold and pay said funds, pursuant to instructions to be given to [THE ESCROW AGENT] by the DEPARTMENT.

State.

The Court agrees with the Trustee to some extent. The Debtor is not in default of the Escrow Agreement because the contracts for sale of the lots expressly provided that any delay in construction of the water and sewer facilities caused by government action would not result in a default by the Debtor.

(See Trustee's Motion, Exh. C at ¶ 9.) As the Court found at the hearing, the Escrow Agreement does not require that the Debtor build the water and sewer facilities. Rather it states that to receive the funds in the Escrow, the Debtor must simply provide a certification of a licensed engineer that such facilities have been built. (Exh. T-7 at ¶s 2, 3.) Therefore, if facilities are built by the local governments who have condemned the Debtor's property that was reserved for those facilities, the Debtor's estate would be entitled to a distribution from the Escrow.

The Department argues, nonetheless, that where facilities are built by the municipalities rather than the Debtor, the lot purchasers might have been required to pay additional fees.

There is, however, no evidence of that.

Consequently, the Court concludes that the Trustee would be entitled to the release of any additional funds from the Escrow to the extent he is able to present a certification from a licensed engineer that water and/or sewer facilities are available for any lot purchaser whose funds remain in the Escrow.

In the absence of such a certification, however, there is no mechanism in the Escrow Agreement for the release of the funds to the Trustee.

IV. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Court will grant in part the Trustee's motion for release of the Escrow funds.

An appropriate order is attached.

Dated: May 22, 2007 BY THE COURT:

Mary F. Walrath

United States Bankruptcy Judge

Many I. Walst

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re:)		
)		
ATLANTIC	GULF	COMMUNITIES	CORP.,)	Case No.	. 01-01594
		Debtor	·)	Jointly	Administered
)		

ORDER

AND NOW this 22nd day of MAY, 2007, upon consideration of the motion of the chapter 7 Trustee to approve the termination of an escrow account established for the protection of New York consumers and the turnover of the remaining escrow funds to the estate, the objection of the New York State Department of State thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED that the Motion of the Trustee is hereby GRANTED IN PART; and it is further

ORDERED that \$2,495,470 of the Escrow shall be forthwith released to the Trustee, representing \$2,235,397 in funds which are not related to New York lot purchasers and \$260,073 in funds which are funds of the Debtor; and it is further

ORDERED that \$292,140 of the Escrow shall be distributed to the lot purchasers identified in Exhibit T-6 which is attached hereto; and it is further

ORDERED that \$3,499,161.83 of the Escrow shall be distributed to the Trustee as it represents funds to which the original lot purchasers no longer have any interest; and it is further

ORDERED that the Trustee shall be entitled to the release of the remainder of the funds from the Escrow (\$2,393,525.17) only to the extent he can present a certification of a licensed engineer from the State of Florida confirming that water and/or sewer facilities are available to a lot covered by the Escrow; and it is further

ORDERED that the Escrow Agent shall distribute any interest earned on the escrow funds pro rata in accordance with the entitlements set forth above.

BY THE COURT:

Mary F. Walrath

United States Bankruptcy Judge

Man I walak

cc: John D. McLaughlin, Jr., Esquire1

¹ Counsel shall serve a copy of this Opinion and Order on all interested parties, including those on the attached list, and file a Certificate of Service to that effect.

SERVICE LIST

John D. McLaughlin, Jr., Esquire 1000 West Street, 17th Floor P.O. Box 391 Wilmington, DE 19899-0391 Counsel for the Trustee

Matthew J. Barbaro, Esquire Robert J. Vawter, Esquire New York State Department of Law The Capitol Albany, NY Counsel for the New York State Department of State

Exhibit T-6

CONTRACT NAME	ADDRESS1	ADDRESSZ	ADDRESS3	A DDRESS4	DEVELOPMENT P	PLAT BLOCK	CK LOT	T Cust Ball - EOY	OY AGC Bal - EOY 2004	Received
A2000518 JOSEPH, JEAN D	89-05-186TH STREET	HOLLIS, NY 11423			Port Charlotte	741 34	3474 5	384.87	0.00	2
	236-37 117TH ROAD	ELMONT, NY 11003					338	384.65	384.65	
ADDUSTA DENET MADE & C	210 MALDWOOD DR	DAYSIDE NY 11361			Cabardian Highlands	3 5	2 2	421.33	421.83	n +
_	• "		BROOKLYN NY 11237		Separation Highlands			•	705.00	-
	•	LONG ISLAND CITY NY	11103		Sebestian Highlands		2		477.54	-
	5321 BEVERLY RD	BROOKLYN, NY 11203			Sebestian Highlands				432.78	-
	46.20 SPRINGFIELD BLVD	BAYSIDE NY 11361			Sebestian Hightands	101	274 5		434.78	-
_	206-07 RICHLAND AVE	HOLLIS HILLS, NY 11364			_				1,352.40	-
	75 LOCUST DR	AMITYVILLE, NY 11701					157 2		410.28	-
	98 N MAIN ST	CORTLAND NY 13045			_	•		_	1,179.22	-
SUBZIES MAREK ZAREMBA	262-93RD ST	BROOKLYN, NY	11208			_	_	-	1,107.39	- 1
ASSOCIATE MARITIMES, EVILA	130 LILLIAN AVE	MEEPORI, NT 11520	CTC TAME AGENCY CHARTES			8 :			308.25	
	DO BOX 7309	HICKS/III E NY 14902	UNITAKIO, CANADA MIE 313		Sebestien Highlands		27.	4/5.99	4/5.88	p +
-	1112 OBEGON BD	DEEKSKII NY 10685							AL AGE	٠,
	•	FI ISHING NY 11368							540.48	
	, •	AGOIRA CA 91301				-			432.70	
	44 PEARSON AVE	TORONTO ONT CAN M6R1G1	12			_				-
	44 PEARSON AVE	TORONT ONT CAN M6R1G1	:			22			40.24	-
A3000530 TOMARA, HELEN	4495 WESTPARK	PIERREFONS H9A 2X8	OUEBEC, CANADA		Sebestian Highlands	75 4				-
	48 PALOMINO DR	MISSISSAUGA ONT CAN LAZ: ONTARIO, CANADA	ONTARIO, CANADA				504 18	-	-	-
A3000583 ZIAS, GEORGE & K	219-15-43 AVE	BAYSIDE, NY 11361			Sebastian Highlands 1	133	313 16	424.95	424.95	-
7257685 ADAMS JAMES & K	1502 REBECCA ST	OAKVILLE ONT CAN LBL 127			North Port Charlotte	22	18	75 257	79.8.27	-
	•	SHERBURNE NY 13460				517 25	2582	741.71	741.71	-
_		PT CLAIRE HBR 927	OUEBEC, CANADA		North Port Charlotte	36		559.11	559.11	-
	C/O MARIA AGUECI	212 MARKHAM	SCARBOROUGH	ONTARIO, CANADA M1J 3C2	_		238		523.05	-
		41 BEACHELL ST	SCARBOROUGH	ONTARIO, CANADA M1J3B3	Port Charlotte				523.07	
A7000766 ALSTON & ESTIMICK GOD	167-20 140 IH AVE	JAMAICA NT 11434			North Port Charlotte	3 2	2008	405.44	405.44	- •
		BDOOKI VN NY 11236							74005	- .
-	SG3 MADI FHILL DR	BLOCKLIN III 11228	200				7190 24		675.01	- ~
	, -	BROOKLYN NY 11234	•		_				713.64	
A7002993 APOSTOLOU, PAUL	127 LYNNBOOK DRIVE	SCARBOROUGH	ONTARIO	ONTARIO, CANADA		321 16	1608		504.08	-
	2ZEL 221 BLUE HERON DR	OSHAWA	ONTARIO, CANADA LIG 6X7						477.12	٠
	. ,	BRONX NY 10468			_				731.94	-
	4	FRANKLIN SO NY 11010							489.56	7
7273025 BIRD, DALE & V	6478 GRANDVIEW DR	CLAY NY 13041			Port Charlotte		1782 13		510.82	
A7004974 BLACKMAN FDRIS J	•	BOCHESTER NY 14611			North Port Charlotte	374 45		25.52.62	26.026	
A7004452 BOND, EGERT & J	100-04 FRANCIS LEMIS BLVD		OUEENS VLGE, NY 11429		Port Charlotte		104		508.94	-
	-				Port Charlotte				495.27	-
	•	DOLLARD DES ORMEAUX	OUEBEC CANADA H9B 1T5	Y3C5	Port Charlotte				460.85	-
	121 QUARTZ WAY	SYRACUSE, NY 13219					1632 13	372.65	372.65	-
A/W316Z BRGAD, EDMUND-TED & GAYLE	٠, ٠	APT 1001W	WINDSOR	ONTARIO, CANADA	Port Charlotte		٠.		533.64	
	27 CBANDWONT	SALII T STE MADIE	ONTARIO, CANADA P/B 3X2		North Port Charlotte	282	742/	382.28	382.28	- •
	• •	CAMILLIS NY 13031	CALLORIO, CANDON TOB SAN				2 2	400 47	400 17	- •
	, 4,	DEER PARK, NY 11729							490.21	
	_	BROOKLYN NY 11228			Port Charlotte	518 25	2591 5		729.40	s
	_	BROOKLYN NY 11228			_	_			737,22	ď
_		HOLLIS, NY 11423			_				488.85	-
ATMOSTAL CARROLL JOSEPH & B	64/ SIKAIFORD RD	BALDWIN NY 11510			Port Charlotte		_		736.86	
		MASSADEONIA DK NV 11762	BALLOWIN, NT 11310		Morth Dot Charlotte	2007			2278	- •
	•	SPENCED BODT NY 145KB					2 4	70.407	704:07	- •
	_	BROOKLYN NY 11210			• • •				758.29	
		HOLTSVILLE, NY 11742					8		505.20	. ი
_	119 PLEASANT AVE	JOHNSTOWN NY 12095						502.64	502.64	-
	34 ROXBURY RD	PT WASHINGTON NY 11050			North Port Charlotte 2	Ξ.			754.69	-
	7	FULLERTON, CA 92633			_	•			525.17	-
A7004548 CHIN SHUE, DONALD & A	- •	ELMONT, NY 11003			_	161 881			496.02	-
A7002487 CHOUDHART, KANJIV S	3230 CAMINO DEL SUR	MASSIER, CA 83538	97						476.33	
	•	HAMPSTEAD NH 03941	ON PAID, CANADA LSA IVE		North Port Charlotte	787 1391	301	437.51	437.54	
	19472 MIDWAY BLVD	PT CHARLOTTE FL 33948							508.83	. •
A7005117 CLARKE, BERESFORDA	19472 MIDWAY BLVD	PT CHARLOTTE, FL 33948					1	496.86	496.86	•
_	1814 DENCOURT DR	PICKERING, ONTARIO	CANADA LIV 4Y2		_		107	483.80	483.80	-
	_	VOORHEESVILLE NY 12186			North Port Charlotte 2	221 968	7	751.38	751.38	-
A7003008 DALRYMPLE, JAMES R.&. R.M.	R M 291 PARKLANE RD	OAKVILLE	ONTARIO, CANADA L6H 4J1		_		R	518.56	518.56	-

Date Received Code	-	2	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-		, .	- •	٠,	۷ (٧.				, .		- •		- •																٠,	- 1	ın •		- (, ,		- ,		- •	۰ ،	٠,	٠,		n -				- ‹	N	ın ·	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	8	-
AGC Bal - EOY 2004	500.36	671.18	472.62	523 14	503 15	730 80	519.56	756 29	487 72	494 95	74.2 04	539 50	742 33	241.08	20.17	20,75	703.00	463.30	86.158	12.44	P 25	W.C.	75.00	85.55/	228.88	90.124	430.10 £34.07	200	514.48	200	200.00	6.98 6.38	3 5	135.88	737.24	162.36	/63.08	492.93	523.18	532.68	481.77	481.80	495.18	86	506.84	539.03	750.46	488.41	69.67	240.05	08.140	109,33	466.73	744.70	74.72	707.70	7.976	977.59	84.60	160.00	508.63	729.12	526.97	531.86	498.01	783.97	733.72	542.89	506.34	343.15	508,39	508,90	514.99
Cust Bal - EOY 2004	500.36	671.18	472.62	523 14	503.15	730.80	519 56	756 29	487 72	494.95	742 04	639.50	74233	241.00	200	90./10	203.00	483.58	481.58	44.46	753.26	4/0.74	20.00	85.05	928.68	97 907	450.10	0.40	514.48	10 40 40 4	1000	761.33	3 3	735.88	737.24	762.36	/63.08	492.83	523.18	532.66	481.77	481.80	495.18	98.88	506.84	539.03	/50.46	488.41	69.67	50.05	65.140	188,33	400.73	744.72	71.72	744.70	270.00	96.009	94.48	150.85	508.83	728.12	526.97	531.86	498.01	763.97	733.72	542.89	508.34	343.15	509.39	508.90	514.89
-	8	15	8	8	a	9		•		8	} ◄	=	2		, {	3 8	8 8	3 :	- ;	5 8	3 8	3 5	3 6	3:	ī ē	; 0		, 5	1:		. :	٠ -	٠,	2 4	2 2	8 8	8 8	8 :	2 ,	4 (.	2,	٠ :	8	8	3 .	. .	2 8	₹:	<u>.</u>	= \$	٠ ب	٠,	۵ ۵	٠.	• •	٠.	n (2 9	2 4	N 5	2 !	ដ	= ;	z	6	9	16	ជ	8	19	9	12
BLOCK	1299	1468	1105	616	233	1472	212	856	2143	4	1445	721	14	2	į	3 8	8 8	3 5	8	2	8 5	5		55	3 6		3	1 8	25.30	1 2	2 8	3 8	3 8	2748	847	9 9	9791	1422	4 5	7	8,4	4/4	997	1486	. .	512	200	777	5 5	2 5	200	305	2 5	430.7	<u> </u>	1	2	200	829	3 ;	9 9	3	1453	812	138	2532	1990	2323	1335	1386	454	454	8
	262	303	23	R141	483	Ę	5	22	457	ā	, <u>e</u>	2	ğ	2	;	2 2	3 8	3 ;	Ş i	5	‡ ?	ē }	3 5	3 8	2 2	9 6	3 :	į	3	į	100	? :	3 3	9	9 5	5	5 8	787	£ 5	4 62 24	3 2	3 !	Ç	302	6	Ē	5	\$ 8	3 ;	1	? ?	3 6	į	Š	ē 2	ā ;		5	5 2	5 E	7	3	န္တ	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	512	4	471	261	281	9	8	6
DEVELOPMENT		North Port Charlotte	North Part Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	Application of the N	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlette	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	North Dat Charlotte		North Port Charlotte		North Part Charlotte	North Port Chandra	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	Note Por Charles	TO THE PARTY OF TH	North Port Charles	North Port Charlotte	STORE OF THE PARTY	Model Control	1	1	5 2	Notifi Pol Criesco	North Port Changes	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	North Port Cheriotte	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	North Port Cheriotte	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	Marin Tot Chellon	Note of Charles	North Port Charlette	Note of Charles	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	North Port Chargotta	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	ğ	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte
ADDRESS4																												רדר והים																																													
ADDRESS3	ONTARIO, CANADA NOH 1AD		MADA	1	1	•			ATHENS GREECE			ONTARIO CANADA NBW 3G5				900717007	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	CAN LBA 283	UNIAKIO, CANADA KAHANB				AVEC 11 DADY 17010	AVERILL PARK NT 12018		BDONY NY 19470	Broke, N. 1949	ACANAC ATTOCA AVAILA					-	154 322	LSA 322			ONTARIO CANADA LBLATB	ONI ARIO, CANADA LBL 419	ONI ARIO, CANADA L3R 3V7				13090									SOUTH A CANADO CICATADO	ON ARIO, CANADA LEZAPE								LBS1W3			ONTARIO, CANADA NBN4R2						9	04	
A DDRE \$52	ALLENFORD	W BABYLON NY 11704	BURLINGTON ONTARIO, CANADA	RAI TWORF MD 21227	STATE OF THE STATE	SCHENECTARY NY 12308	WHITESTONE NY 11357	OR! ANDO FI 32819	NEA KOLOKINTHON DERISTIATHENS GREECE	SCHENECTADY NY 12309	ACTION OF SELECTION OF SELECTIO	WINDSOR	W SENECA NY 14224	BECON NN 11230	BYCONE IN MILES	CONTRACTOR CANADA	COORNICE NI	BRAMPION ON	PERIT	BALLSICA LAKE NT 12020	MASSAPECUA NT 11/36	ALBERT IN 12203	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	KURS SEED SEED SEED SEED SEED SEED SEED SE	AVERILL PRINT 12018	ADT 6	CANODA BABY CA 93308	LALIEAX	A IBI IBN NY 13021	SECURIAL SOCI	BROING, NI 10406	HAMPION BATS NT 11946	HAMPION BATSNT 11946	MISSISSAUGA CAL CAN	MISSISSAUGA ONI CAN	BROOKLYN T 1133	BROOKLTN NT 1123	CARVILLE	CARVILLE	ESEMARHAM,	BRONX, NY 10469	BRONX, NT 10469	LEWISION, NT 14092	LIVERPOOL, NY	BROOKLYN, NY 11207	HOLLIS, NY 11412	BOCA RAI ON FL 33438	CEENS VILLAGE, NY 1142/	FEURA BUSH NT 1206/	FEURA BOSH NT 1206/	PARAMOS NJ U/652	MESTON ONI CAN MORSES	PRANCING ON MANAGES	SICAMPTON OF THE STAND	COEIMANS HOLLOWING	STATES ISL NT 1000	LATERORY, NT 11320	DIST IN MALES	CALIFORNIA 12130	SCOIM, NT 12302	WATNE, NJ U/4/0	HAMILLON ON CAN	PORT CHESTER NY 10573	UNION CITY, NJ 07087	TECUMSEH	LITTLE NECK NY 11363	STATEN ISL NY 10308	AGINCOURT ONT M1T 3L7	FLUSHING, NY 11355	N LINDENHURST, NY 11757	MANHASSET HILLS, NY 110	MANHASSET HILLS, NY 11040	BALLSTON SPANY 12020
ADORESS1	RR #2	700 ARLINGTON RD		1017 STORMONT CIRCLE	21 DVEKIN GROVE	TO WOOD ON THE PROPERTY OF THE	155.05 16TH DRIVE	6753 SUCARRUSH DR	AGIA PARASKEVI 31	1168 FERNACIOD DR	SA EDGEHILI RD	2861 BYNG ROAD	20 NAPI ES DR	77 BAY 7 ST	TO COLUMN TO THE PARTY OF THE P	470 CRESI WOOD DR	A POST OF THE POST	SA NORPOLK AVE	68 WLSON SI W	34 AKKOWWOOD PL	SSE HARRISON AVE	20 CONTRICT S DI ATA #205	DOV 204 BADIEV BD	BOX 204 KAULET RU	ADS RADLET RU	AESA LONGE OF	20220 WANDOTTE ST	1626 CHESTALIT ST	PORT STONE SCHOOL BD	ADD DARKE AND	Sada DAMIES AVE	S MILLORED PL	S MILDRED PL	383 JANENE CI	363 JANENE CT	2004 HENDRICKSON SI	2004 HENDRICKSON SI	353 WILLIS DR	333 WILLIS DR	7305 WOOD VIEW AVENUE	32-15 SEYMOUR AVE	32-15 SETMOOR AVE	436 ABERDEEN KD	4092 SILVERADO	370 VERMONT ST	105-01 193 SI	BESS SUNSCAPE LANE	216-35 SPENCER AVE	AFD 1 BUX 105	RFD 1, BOX 1/8	SIB MAPLEWOOD DR	42 HABISDALE DO	A CTABLE BLO COLIDA	14 SI AKLING COUK!	2575 DICHESTER DE	39/3 RICHMOND RD	4 VED DING	ONE STATE BLVD		38 SURATSIDE RU	/6 LAKE UK E	25 DOW SIREE!	PO BOX 544	515 Z/TH STREET	2387 DOCHERTY DRIVE	61-18 255TH ST	165 KEEGANS LN	857 HUNTINGWOOD DR	58-21 137 ST	1007 JACKSON AVE	22 JOY DR	22 JOY DR	1725 AMSTERDAM RD
NAME	DAVENPORT, GLENN & MARGARE	DEL QUAGLIO, SALVATORE & R	DICKSON, GORDON E	ENCOMIENDA ZENADA M	ENGINEER HAVOVI & N	NIV IS A ISOTOTION INCOME.	EVANGELISTA FRANK & L	FAMIGLETTI & NASTASIM &	FETINA & M VOLIKAS	FORMAN LEONARD & M	FRASCA ANTHONY I	FRIESEN HARRY & MARY	FULTZ JOAN K	CACCIONE & CADAL BO	CARDINA TIONAS O DETEN	CARRIET, INCIMAS & BELLT	OF DEPT. CO. IN C. A.	GEORGE COLIN & M	GIBPLARSLET, JOHN & N	GENNON, PAIRICA & I	CRAFF, A & L	Green, Microset, & C	UALE BOREST & 1	HALE, KOBERT & J	HALE, KOBERT & J	LENDY BABON & MADIE	HEBNANDEZ VILKA G	HICKMAN MICHAELENA	HI TON OF HAN A S	HODGE DOOR SELVE	HOLOGEN ED ANK + D	HOLECEN FRANK + D	HOLECEN, FRANK + F	HONG-TOU, RICHARD & R	HONG-TOU, RICHARD & K	THE STORE THE WAY	HINES JOSEPH M	JACKSON, MAX & GERALDINE	HODEL CAROL O	MARDINE, CAROL C	JIMENEZ CARMEN	JIMENEZ CARMEN & PEDERICO	COMMONOR A R R	JOHN & S GNEILL	JOHNSON, GLORIA E	JONES, WILLIE & RUBY	KALISH SI ANLET + A	KAIHAINEA, JOHANN	KANACAR JOHN & E	STANCES AND IN S. E.	KII KEADY KISEBU & SUIDI EY	KIDKMAN CADDIE	KOROSI DAIII & MARTA	KONOSI, PASE & MAKES	A CREATING	LA GAEGO, JOSEPHINE	CAMIANO BOBERT & M	A FOLDO DO DE LA PERSONA DE LA	LAMINAC, A CANDER 6 MADE	CAROCCO, ALEXANDER & MARK	LEE, SUZANNE & U	LECO, LACK	LEZAMA,C & I & Y	LOPEZ, ANILDO & V	MANCINI, RENATO	MANCUSO, JOSEPH & H	MANISCALCO, ALBERT & G	MANSWELL, HUGH & S(DR)	MARINOS, GEORGE E	MARRERO, GEORGE & CARMEN	MASIH, EMMANUEL & S	MASIH, EMMANUEL & S	MASTEN, DAVID & W
	Ф	7258804	A7008350 I	A7003413			~		_			-				70021		640024			201000				47006581													A/005540			A/004550							7769077				777M21				700074				20070074					_						A7002710	A7002711	7273211

P ON D ERT L & G M					DEVELOPMENT	i			2007	200	
MOKENZIE, SHARON D MCLYMONT, ROBERT MEHTA, CHET & K MIRANDA, MARANDEL & G M	M E 39TH ST	RROOKLYN NY 11210			North Port Charlotte	457	2168	9	516.08	516.08	•
MCLYMONT,ROBERT MEHTA,CHET & K MIRANDA,MANUEL & G M	٠,		BRONX, NY 10457		North Port Charlotte	18	818	, £	495.28	485.28	
MENTACHET & K MIRANDA, MANUEL & G M	560 UPPER WENTWORTH ST	HAMILTON ONT CAN L9A 4V2				\$	2123	8	516.00	516.00	
¥ Ů	2 ELLINWOOD DR	ROCHESTER, NY 14622			North Port Charlotte	25	2597	۲:	413.19	413.18	
	171 ROSEDALE AVE	BRONX NY 10472			5	Ş :	5 20	= {	495.35	450.35	- •
	23 THORNDALE RD	SLINGERLANDS NY 12159			5 2	3 8	3 5	3 5	470.15	8.5	۰.
	23 INCRNUALE RU	ACI71	33347		North Port Charlette	3 8	3 5	ŭ .	#/O.18	8 5	, .
MOSES & EMILT PEREL	DOCUMENT DAYS	NEW HYDE BABY NY 11040	*****		5 8	3 5	752	2 6	60.32	2 2 2	
THOMAS & M	79-360 DESERT BOCK CT	A OUNTA CA 92253				514	, v	; 9	568.10	568 10	. ~
	S INDEN BIVD	BROOKLYN NY 11203				453	2187	74	538.14	538 14	-
NADEAU BERNADETTE & E 26	BARBER ST	ESPANOL A ONT POPICO				472	2294	^	504.83	504.83	-
	SO CHAMBERLIN RD	E GREENBUSH NY 12061			ě	302	1468	16	538.83	538.83	\$
OIAN	98 CAKVILLE ST	STATEN ISL NY 10314			ď	211	1007	17	520.87	520.87	-
	LILL DOUGHT TEDO	VONKERS NY 10703			1		200	: 14	510 74	640.74	
	10 MILLENIGHT TERM	YONKERS NY 10703				2 5	200	3 8	510.74	510.74	
	HILLSON TEST	VONKERS NY 40703			Most Dod Charlotte	į	2 2	3 2	20.74	540.74	
DI SACI AUTORO	HILLBRIGHT TOOL	CONNERS, NT 10703			North Port Charlotte	5 5	2 6	\$ 6	540.74	510.74	- •
	10 HILLBRIGHT TERK	CONNERS, NT 10/03			North Part Charlette	5 6	2 6	à 8	47.000	510.74	- •
	HILLBRIGHT TERR	TOWKERS, NY 10/03			North Port Charlotte	5 5	2	3 3	510.74	510.74	
	HILLBRIGHT TERR	YONKERS, NY 10703			North Port Charlotte	50	907	8	510.74	510.74	-
_	12 PEARSALL AVE	RIDGEWOOD NJ 07450			North Port Charlotte	ţ	111	48	538.65	538.65	-
	23 AL EXANDER ST	PARIS ONT CAN N3L 2VB			North Port Charlotte		2308	우	490.55	480.55	7
PERKINS, BARTHOLOMEW & J 25	30 CASILLA WAY SO	ST PETE FL 33712			North Port Charlotte	ğ	907	4	531.37	531.37	-
PETRUSO, RICHARD & C 38	3962 PAWNEE DR	LIVERPOOL NY 13090			North Port Charlotte	3	9	8	507.35	507.35	-
PIETRONEGRO, A & M 68	66-04 SPRITZ RD	OZONE PARK NY 11417			North Port Charlotte	371	820	9	756.14	756.14	-
7270509 RASTELL FRANCO & M 89	894 EDGEWOOD DR	WESTBURY NY 11590			North Port Charlotte	37	1818	7	518.67	518.67	G
	58 MAXON ROAD	VARY SBURG NY 14187			North Port Charlotte	3	2	22	756.17	756.17	-
REBLANDO SALUD P	N REGENT OR	WESTBURY, NY 11590			ĕ		208	16	422 19	422.19	8
8 2	64 WILLOWMOUNT DR	SCARBOROUGH ONT Mil. 143			ě		2022	9	220.00	220.00	-
	CO NAIDO FICAN PO	NEW CITY NY 10056			ě		28	5	51818	518 18	-
CACHIDED WONCESTAD	CCOMISSION CONTRAL DISA	MEN CIT IN 1980			5 2		3 8	3 -	90	215.70	
ESLAU & L	246 GRAND CENTRAL PRO	JAMAICA NT 11432			Ę		2 3	- {	5797.60	97.50	
SALAMON, MARIE	FORASI AVE	PARAMUS NJ U/652			Ę		B	/7	608.88	88.909	- 1
	BEISENHOWER DR	MIDDLETOWN, NY 10940			ğ		88	R	449.03	449.03	7
ALE	88 STONEY PTE RD	GRAND ISLD, NY 14072			North Port Charlotte	1	2028	16	900.50	500.50	-
SANTANDER, R. &. C. 40	108 FALLSWAY CT	VIRGINIA BEACH, VA 23456			North Port Charlotte		2162	2	509.44	508.44	-
	008 FALLSWAY CT	VIRGINIA BEACH, VA 23456			North Port Charlotte	457	2162	5	510.54	510.54	-
	15.45TH ST	BROOKLYN NY 11220			North Dort Charlotte	_	11	5	627.44	577 13	-
	126 IEB STIMBT OF W	WILMINGTON NC 28412			North Dort Charlotte	214	X		578.80	578.60	-
SEDBANZANA BOMEO & OFFI IA 46	AGES CETTING CHIN DD	EI COBBANTE CA SAGRA			Most Dost Charlotte	Ę	9		405.40	405.40	
SERIOTION OF THE PROPERTY OF T	4662 CETTRIC CHIN DB	EL SOBRANTE CA PAGOS			1	5	3 8	, 4	406.40	405.40	
	SE SELLING SON DA	EL SOBRANTE, CA SAGO			5 3		8 8	,	0 7 0 7 0	400.40	
	1228/31				Ę	5 !	3	2 1	513.74	513.74	
Z	48 VKADENBERG DRIVE		M1 1M5		5		3	ę.	708.69	08.68	
	HOWARD ST	N TARRYTOWN, NY 10591			North Port Charlotte		396	o ;	515.65	515.65	
	2651 SW 7TH ST	FT LAUDERDALE, PL 33312			ĕ		388	71	489.85	489.85	-
M(DR)	1296 EDMINSON DR	SH ONT CAN			ğ		2182	6	727.50	727.50	-
& A	6713 HUNTS BAY RD		ALBERTA CAN T2K4R2		North Port Charlotte	194	2	9	502,40	502.40	-
	26 E 4 TH ST	PATCHOGUE NY 11772			North Port Charlotta		2238	9	735.13	735.13	-
SUMITOMO, YOSHIO 78	7814 TUMBLESTONE DR	ORLANDO, FL 32819			North Port Charlotte	5	38	2	496.94	486.94	-
SUMITOMO, YOSHIO 78	114 TUMBLESTONE DR	ORLANDO, FL 32819			North Port Cheriotte	53	38	4	495.58	495.58	-
SURGEON. LEONARD & C 16	160 ADAMS ST	HARTFORD CT 06112			North Port Charlotte	8	203	8	503.27	503.27	6
	NORWAN RD	NEWARK NJ 07108			North Port Charlotte	_	887	8	418.82	418.67	-
	226 BOWER HILL RD	WOODSTOCK ONT NASSMA			North Port Charlotte		22.44	8 8	483.87	483.87	
	AR SILVED SODIMOS BLVD		OTMOGOT	CALVIDA CANADA MIVIDO	North Dort Charlotte		22.0	1 5	143.01	743.01	-
	A12 IACKSON/ALL EDD	SPIID NY 14006			Moth Doe Charlotte		1857		610.60	640.50	
	444 FASTONED DD	TBOX NV 42482			3		3	. :	2000	2000	
	A EASTONER RU	, NT 12182					2 :	٠ و	452.02	432.02	٠.
	60 GRIFFILMS DRIVE		ONTARIO, CANADA LIT 3JB		North Part Charlotte		₹.	5 0	518.75	518.75	o
	S RUTLAND RD	1520			North Port Charlotte		1311	8	515.31	515.31	-
ш	68 LAMAY CRES		ONTARIO, CANADA M1X 1J5		North Port Charlotte	514		4	4,08,1	1,051.04	n
	1146 WAVERLY PL	SCHENECTADY NY 12308			North Port Charlotte	g	1523	5	528.59	528.59	-
TSECOLORIANO & R 12	12 COUNTRY VILLAGE LANE	MANHASSET HILLS, NY 11040			North Port Charlotte	181	555	22	536.77	536.77	-
	744 158 ST	FLUSHING, NY 11355			North Port Charlotte	∞	121	4	460.70	480.70	-
VOGEL WALTER J & O 42	422 FINI DRIVE	STUART, FL 34996			North Port Charlotte	7	187	9	410.36	410.36	-
WAN, BENNY 81	8 WIGMORE DRIVE		ONTARIO, CANADA M4A 2E5		North Port Charlotte	235	824	7	505.46	505.46	-
WEAKLEY, RICHARD & ETHEL RI	D #1 BOX 282	PATTERSONVILLE, NY 12137			North Port Charlotte	85	2171	ō	462.06	462.08	-
	S NORTHRIDGE RD	REVERLY MA 01915				4	950	8	406.29	408.29	2
	S HEWSON OR		ONTABIO CANADA 11W 1TR				180	2	409 64	408 54	
A011.8 III II	37 LODES AVE	C NV 44743	מווישוק, כשושט בווים				8 8	3 8	100.04	503.60	- ,
	TOPES AVE	CENTRALICE, NT 11/42					76,	3 :	203.00	303.60	, ,
	450 KLONDIKE AVENUE	LAND, NY 10314			5	25	22	* ;	482.50	482.50	
	53 COLONEL BUTLER DR		ONTARIO, CANADA L3P6B1		North Port Charlotte	242	828	æ	514.69	614.69	-
YAP, TONY & ALICE 26	2619 160TH STREET				North Port Charlotte	_	627	5	511.95	511.85	-
	49 HUNTINGTON PARK DR.		L3T 6E8		North Port Charlotte	194	282	e	324.66	324.66	s
U.S.	605 BOSEMOOD CRES	THINDER RAY ONT	CANADA DZE 285		1		200	ç	500 15	580 15	
	A KOSEWOOD CRES	INUNDER BAT CALL	CANAUA PIEZNO		ĕ	714	507	2	266.10	506.15	,

Received	- 2 -							-		2			. 2		- vo	ĸ		- - -	-			-			· 0	n ^	-	- (7 72		- 2	-		-	·- •	- 6	۰.				-	•• •		· -	-		-		- -
AGC Bal - EOY 2004	489.30 512.27 741.80	503.87	499.66	481.97	1,727.96	1,727.95	1,474.15	68.52	60.39 15.99	206.35	2,207.55	2,188.79	1,468.48	2,209.10	1.827.37	1,545.59	743.10	1,752.49	2,188.63	0.00	1,386.7	1,658.60	470.52	1,469.17	1,592.63	1,389,17	2,220.87	1,631.40	1,437.33	2,202,19	427.97	1,419.72	181.25	2,243.58	1,362.14	2,480.73	1,315.16	2,239.31	7.465.38	624.31	1,657.03	1,509.91	2.85	1,769.25	¥.38	1,501.70	2,189.25	1,504.87	736.68
Curt Bei - EDY AGC Bai - EOY 2004 2004	469.30 512.27 741.80	503.67	489.66	491.97	1,727.95	1,727.95	1,727.95	365.50	238.37	206.35	2,207.55	2,199.79	1,488.48	2,208.10	1.827.37	1,545.59	743.10	1.752.49	2,188.83	53.09	1,388.22	1,658.60	470.52	1,468.17	1,592.83	1 398 17	2,220,87	1,631.40	1,437.33	2,202,19	427.97	1,419.72	390.51	2,243,58	1,362.14	2,188.72	1,315.18	2,239.31	1,485.38	624.31	1,657.03	1,509.91	1,306.83	1,789.25	471.87	1,501.70	2,189.25	1,504.87	736.68
5	4 7 7	4 5	ឧឧ	ឧ	, 8	٠:	7 0	5	₽ %	ន	4 5	7 5	. Ф	o ;	Ξ φ	7	ឧ	1 w	7	₹2 £	4	7	ន :	¥ 10	ឧ	15	4	% •	· 8	우	v 8	8	5 5	8	Ф с	D 17	- Ξ	4 (n 🗜	4 1	4	8 •	0 1	· œ	9	7 5	2 8	8	6
PLAT BLOCK	2808 1747 2569	8 5	33	524	384	1240	1207	2901	2865 2802	2023	24	2 X	8	2087	8 8	8	8 8	8	2113	8 8	9	2836	1912	2 8 8 8	2840) 68 K	2834	82 5	2757	2855	29.05	27.87	8 8 8 8	8	3 5	8	84 84 84	882	7 80%	2	2975	2978	7867	2987	1821	2889	2758	28	2008
ž	51A 343 516	<u>5</u> 2	23 82	22 2	14	53	5 6	1	4 4 12 4	483	532	25.5	524	5 5	2 5	53	8 4	532	R211	3 5	2 5	538	516	25 6	521	222	22	8	516 516	532	, S	512	8 5	533	= 2	2 8	232	535	2 2	32	536	223	5 6	25	83	25	516	83	8 8
DEVELOPMENT	North Part Charlotte North Part Charlotte North Part Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	North Port Charlotte	Part St. Lucie	Port St. Lucie	Port St. Lucie	Port St. Lucie	Port St. Lucie Port St. Lucie	Port Melaber	Port Melabar	Port Malabar	Port Malabar	Port Malabar	Port Melabar	Port Malabar	Port Malabar	Port Melabar	Port Malabar	Port Malabar	Port Malabar	Port Malabar	Port Malabar	Port Malabar	Port Malabar	Port Maiabar	Port Malabar	Port Melabar	Port Malaber	Port Malabar	Port Malabar	Port Malabar	Port Malabar	Port Melabar	Port Melabar	Port Malabar	Port Malabar	Port Malabar	Port Melabar	Port Malabar	Port Malabar	Port Malabar	Port Malabar	Port Malabar	Port Malabar	Port Malabar	Port Melabar	Port Melabar	Port Malabar
A DDRESS4													ONTARIO, CANADA																																				
ADDRE953										11214			CANADA L3T 1R7							10025			Act to the second of the second	ONTARIO, CANADA L31 1AB ONTARIO, CANADA M6C3L8		ONTARIO CANADA 13R7XB	M4N1W9	ACALIAC CICATION	UNITAKIO, CANADA P/BBN1 L48 2E8			ONTARIO, CANADA 1914V5	145199116			CANADA N213Y6			ONTABIO CANADA MRC318	33415		ONTARIO, CANADA L4T 2P4			_	CANADA M2M482			
ADDRE 552	WOODSIDE NY 11377 GARDENA CA 90247 HAVERSTRAW NY 10927	FLUSHING, NY 11364	BROOKLYN, NY 11209 SPBING HILL EL 34640	SPRING HILL, FL 34610	LEVITTOWN NY 11756	LEVITTOWN NY 11756	HOUSTON, TX 77083	BRONX, NY 10462	NEWTON, MA 02159 BAYSIDE NY 11364	BROOKLYN, NY	E NORTHPORT, NY 11731	BROOKLYN NY 11231	THORNHILL ONT	ROCHESTER NY 14612	FOREST HILLS, NY 11375	FOREST HILLS, NY 11375	BROOKLYN NY 11209	ROOSEVELT, NY 11575	RIDGEWOOD, NY 11385	NEW YORK, NY	ROYNTON BOH EL 33435	COLUMBIA, TN 36401	AMSTERDAM, NY 12010	TORONTO	SCARBOROUGH	HUNTINGTON BCH CA 92846	TORONTO ONT CANADA	ELIZABETH NJ 07202	RICHMOND HILL ONT CAN	TOMS RIVER NJ 08757	WOODSIDE NY 11377 PLATTSRURGH NY 12801	MILTON	ONTARIO NY	BERGENFIELD NJ 07621	ASTORIA, NY 11102	WATERLOO ONTARIO	VESTAL, NY 13850	BUFFALONY 14208	W PALM BCH FL 33401	WEST PALM BEACH FL	SADOLE RIVER NJ 07458	MISSISSAUGA	GREENWICH CT ORBIO	GREENWICH, CT 08830	BRAMPTON ONT CAN LEY3J1	WILLOWDALE ONTARIO	ONT CAN LOLZLO	BRONX, NY 10482	STATEN ISLAND NY 10309 LONG ISLAND CTY NY 11101
ADDRESS1	30-49 69TH ST 16419 HALLDALE AVE #8 55 COOLIDGE ST	143-06 38 AVE	262-93RD ST 10415 PALMSPEN IN	10415 PALMGREM IN	151 ELM PL	151 EUM PL	151 ELM PL 15803 ENSENADA DR	1843 MATHEWS AVE	56 INDIAN RIDGE RD 57-43 CLOVERDALE BLVD	2018 93RD ST	134 CEDAR RD	28 3RD PL	128 MORGAN AVE	429 BISCAYNE DR	67-67 BURNS ST APT 7H	67-67 BURNS ST APT 7H	162 98 STREET	22 WAGNER AVE	1616 HARMAN ST	160 W 97TH ST #13-J	275 SWIRTH AVE	700 SUGAR BEND DRIVE	85 CHAPEL PL	752 STEELE AVENUE E. 275 WINNETT AVE	108 DOWSWELL DRIVE	9822 KITE DR 9 RADCI IEEE BD	2 RANLEIGH AVE	417 AMITY PL	42 GLENHURST RD	65 WHITMORE DRIVE	28 03 HOBART ST	710 ROBERTSON CRES	477 WHITNEY RD	92 NEW JERSEY AVE	23-15 30 AVENUE #A7	327 DAI F CRESCENT	1016 MAIN ST	11 BLAINE AVE	343 MANNETT AVE	5422 BONKY CT	185 CHESTNUT RIDGE RD	7279 VERNOR DR	22 BOOTH CT	22 BOOTH CT	12 DRISCOLL DR	11 CARMEL COURT	RR2 SHANTY BAY	11 METROPOLITAN OVAL #8E	382 SHARKOT IS RD 21 48 44 DR
NAME	YEEALICE FY YOUNG S CHO & KAY S HWANG YOUNGER & MCGRATH, R & K	ž		ZIEGLER, RUDOLPH J		FRANK, JOHN & M	80	5	LIV,WILLIAM WANG,FONG-GOUR & SHOU	ADA M KWONG	AMARI, DOMINICK & LORRAINE	BARBERA JOHN & E	BATOL RODRIGO R	BJORNHOLM, ROBERT & D	BOCARE, HERBERT & A BRECHER, HERMAN & S	BRECHER, SILVIA & J	BREUNING ALBERT H	BRISSETT BERYLA	BUTERA, VINCENZO & M	CARLITO & NENTA MADRIAGA	CAROSELLA JOHNNI & I	CASALS, RODOLFO & LOURDES	CENT,MARK	CHARALAMBOUS, ZOE	CHENG, ANNE	CHEW & HU,S & F	CHRISTOFF, BORIS & P	COELHO, JOSE M & LUCILIA		ı	ENG, SHEE F EMING, DIANA R	FLYNN, KENNEDY & M	FRANK & PATRICIA AFFRONTI	GARCIA ARMANDO & I	GONZALES, ERASMO	HALLORAN JAMES & D	HAMBALEK,URSALA	HILLIARD, JOHN & L	HOGLIEVINACI I AVIO & C	JOHNSON, L. R. F. & J.	KERN, JEAN	KNOTT, GLORIA	INIOA, I HELMA E	LAUERSDORF, OTTO & HELEN	LEE, BOCK H & YOUNG JA	LEE YI BYUNG & J	LENG, PAUL Y & REBECCA	LUGO, ANNA R	MAGRI, AN TONIO & L
CONTRACT	A7004157 7270571 7258143		A7008313	A7003417		A8006548				Ø	-	917 7888			9135/32		9178013	_		A9002021			_	A9001332		9177619 A9001204		9184663			A9001791 A9005361		A9006444		A9006680		_		1188818				A9000032			9192190		_	9179698

CONTRACT	NAME	ADORESS1	ADDRESSZ	ADDRESSO	ADDRE854	DEVELOPMENT	PLAT BLOCK		5	Cuet Ball - EDY 2004	AGC Bei - EOY 2004	Received
A9001346	MALCOLM WINSTON & ALDI EY	58 YELLOWSTONE ST	DOWNSVIEW	ONTARIO CANADA M3N1M4		Port Melaber	526	2815	~	1.690.45	1,690.45	2
9195568	MALCOCIM, VINSTON & ACCUE	58 YELLOWSTONE ST	DOWNSVIEW ONTARIO	CANADA M3N 1M4		Port Malabar	514	78	1 74	1,102,61	1,102.61	N
8176045	MANABAT MARIA CORAZON	129 CEDAR GROVE CT	STATEN ISL NY 10306			Port Malaber	538	2911	4	2,239.23	2 239.23	-
	MARCUS JAMES & L	20 LANTERN ST	HUNTINGTON NY 11743			Port Malaber	532	2968	=	2,218.08	2,218.08	-
	MATTHES KENNETH A & D E	651 24TH PL SW	VERO BCH FL 32962			Port Malaber	8	2015	8	366.28	366.28	9
	MCCLELLAND WILLIAM & V	208 ROOSEVELT AVE	SATELLITE BOH FL 32837			Port Malabar	534	2924	*	1,495.53	1,486.53	-
A9000318	MCKENZIE, NORRICE G	11240 SW 175TH ST	MIAMI, FL 33157			Port Maleber	535	2908	16	1,452.57	1,452.57	-
A9003154	MEHTA CHET & K	162 ELLINWOOD DR	ROCHESTER, NY 14622			Port Malabar	525	2823	6	453.48	453.48	-
9188629	MIELES, HECTOR & T	45-36 49 ST 2B	WOODSIDE NY 11377			Port Malabar	4	Š	73	499.08	499.09	-
9177170	MIGNUOLO, MICHAEL & R	211 BAY 10 ST	BROOKLYN NY 11228			Port Malaber	532	3 82	7	2,222.68	2,222.68	-
9177171	MIGNUOLO, MICHAEL & R	211 BAY 10 ST	BROOKLYN NY 11228			Port Malaber	532	3	5	2,222,21	2,222,21	-
A9003013	MOORE, KEVIN M	1402 THEODORA CORE	AUSTIN, TX 78753			Port Melaber	531	2942	8	1,305.97	1,305.97	-
9178107	MUNIAK RICHARD & C	62-62 83RD PLACE	MIDDLE VLG, NY 11379			Port Mataber	53	2965	7	2,218.90	2,218.80	-
	MUNIAK RICHARD & C	62-62 83 PLACE	MIDDLE VILLAGE NY 11379			Port Malabar	533	2365	ឧ	2,218,90	2,219.90	-
	MUNDZ ARTURO & M		GRAND PRAIRIE TX 75052			Port Malabar	531	2845	8	1,818,00	1,818.00	-
0177413	MIRDHY SILVA & T	505 HAWKINS BLVD	CODIACITE NY 11728			Port Malabar	532	2851	40	2 228 54	2 228 54	-
40001005	MIDDAY GEORID'S LIDIANA	104 CHONNEUDE CIDES	THORNILL .	ONTABIO CANADA 13 ITAMO		Dort Malahar	518	7758	8	1 420 R4	1 420 64	
910057	MONOTO DE LOS LIGATIONS	1611 SHEDIDAN AVE ABT 34A	_	amiles cours 'sixuis		Port Malabar	23	8	3 6	531 88	531.88	
9190917	MASSAB ANTONIE D	27 SUCCESSION OF SUCCESSION		CMTABIO CAMADA NIBILAM		Doet Malahar	3 5	200	; \$	00 00	30.00	
A50004	MASSAC, MAI ONE & A	State of the state	DECOMPANY OF STATE	TATION COUNTY (OWNER)		odelahar o	2	200	2 5	2000	230.22	
Asmos	NINO,ROSA	61-15 9/ SI API 14R	REGULARRY, NT 113/4			EDEREN ID	3 5	7 70	3 5	77.867.	7.997	. ,
A9004/52	ORKOPOLOS, KONSTANTINOS	250 WILL'S AVENUE	MOLIONICAM, NT 1781			TEO MENGOR	3 5	8 8	2 5	1,488.31	1,490.31	, ,
9187250	PEABODY, RICHARD & ALBERTA	570 SARATOGA RD	SCOTIANY 12302			Por Malabar	3 5	2 2	2 ;	09.126	04.126	
9182721	PICA, FREDERICK & M.	16685 BRIGADOON DR	TAMPA FL 33618			Fort Malabar	8	5 5	£ ;	2,1/4.21	2,1/4.21	
A9006516	RAGOONANAN, P. & M.	1036 E 228TH ST	BRONX, NY 10486			Port Malabar	8	è	2 ;	924.03	924.03	
8178709	RIOS, RODRIGO	98-06 PARSONS BLVD	APT A21	JAMAICA NY 11432		Port Malaber	20	2	8	2,218.36	2,219.36	
A9000337	RITTGERS JR FOREST & SALL	52 CASCADE TERR	SCHENECTADY, NY 12309			Port Malaber	Š	47.67	9	1,421.02	1,421.02	
A9005234	RIVERA-FRANCO, ANTONIO	64 SOMERSET STREET	ROCHESTER NY 14611			Port Malabar	238	8	%	1,519.07	1,519.07	-
9188691	ROSE, JOY J	3450-09 WAYNE AVE	BRONX NY 10467			Port Malabar	8	824	ß	487.02	497.02	-
A9000816	ROSE, JOY J	3450-09 WAYNE AVE	BRONX, NY 10467			Port Malabar	532	8	œ	1,740.97	1,740.97	-
A9003707	ROSE, LLOYD J	85 INVERARY DRIVE	KANATA	ONTARIO, CANADA K2K2S1		Port Malabar	518	2757	6	1,417.04	1,417.04	-
A9001322	RUBERRY, DAVID & JOAN	153 HARRISON ST	THUNDER BAY	ONTARIO, CANADA P7A7H5		Port Malabar	511	2793	9	1,419.82	1,419.62	7
9176315	SACHI, SAMI & S	80 BEARD ST	STATEN ISL NY 10314			Port Malabar	534	2928	4	2,237.24	2,237.24	-
9189682	SALMON IVAN & L	2267 SPRINGFIELD CT	MISSISSAUGA ONT L5K1V3			Port Malabar	424	1771	12	436.88	12.67	~
A9005709	SCHREIBER, KENNETH & S	15 COLONIAL DR	TONAWANDA, NY 14150			Port Melaber	516	27.85	8	833.20	933.20	-
A9004659	SCOTT, ERIS P	2111 HARRISON AVENUE	BRONX, NY 10453			Port Malabar	503	2717	ន	319.77	0.00	-
9177397	SCOTT, SYLVIA & HUGH C	89-27-217 STREET	OUEENS VILLAGE NY 11427			Port Malabar	531	2843	R	2,223.32	2,223.32	-
A9003462	SHARMA SARUP & C	81 CRESCENT DRIVE	SEARINGTON, NY 11507			Port Malabar	533	2964	ន	1,748.86	1,749.66	-
A9003994	SILVA JOE & A	BRANQUIRA ALBUFETRA	8200	PORTUGAL		Port Malabar	532	2887	5	1,612.47	1,612.47	-
A9002906	SINGH, RANDHIR	3220 CAMINO DEL SUR	LANCASTER, CA 93538			Port Malabar	205	2740	7	343.61	142.72	-
9178328	SOARES MANUEL & D	40 HOMEWOOD AVE APT 402		2		Port Malabar	517	27.72	æ	2,220,22	2220.22	-
8178329	SOARES MANUEL & D	40 HOMEWOOD AVE APT 402		1 2		Port Malabar	517	21.12	ø	2.220.17	2,220.17	-
A9001069	SOHANLALES	20 EPPING CRT	_	ONTARIO CANADA L3R 3H1		Port Melaber	528	2808	4	1,411,90	1,411,90	-
9177695	STEPHENSON, WILLIAM & L	1 FALLOWFIELD RD	REXDALE ONT CAN M9W 2W1			Port Malabar	516	2758	ß	2,220.14	2,220.14	-
A9000612	SUTTON, LLOYD	PO BOX 69-5070	MIAMI, FL 33269			Port Malabar	532	2948	-	1,563.46	1,563.46	-
A9003695	TANG, WARREN & WENDY	42 BRUCE FARM DR	WILLOWDALE	ONTARIO, CANADA M2H1G5		Port Malabar	521	2847	Ξ	1,229.31	1,229.31	~
A90036B6	TANG, WARREN & WENDY	42 BRUCE FARM DR	WILLOWDALE	ONTARIO, CANADA M2H1G5		Port Matabar	521	8 4	6	1,285.50	1,285.50	7
9196096	TAYLOR, DAVID & G	84 MURRIE ST	TORON TO CANADA MBV1X7			Port Malabar	514	27.75	\$	1,440.20	1,440.20	e
A9001065	TRINIDAD & TANADA,A & C	6 FINCH PLACE	HAMILTON	ONTARIO, CANADA 19A 5C3		Port Melabar	523	2880	4	1,405.37	1,406.37	-
9178352	VARRICCHIO EXEC, PATRICIA	95 HOME PL	STATEN ISI, NY 10314			Port Malabar	533	Š	R	2,199.38	2,199.38	-
A9000969	VAZOUEZ MARIO & MARGARITA	140 ELGAR PLACE 29 J	BRONX, NY 10475			Port Malabar	531	293B	6	1,781.02	1,761.02	-
A9002124	WHITTLE, MAURICE & V	220 NY CRES	STOUFFVILLE	ONTARIO, CANADA L4A5B4		Port Malabar	421	2128	8	407.02	163.89	ю.
9195849	WILEY-LITTLE, LYNDA	RR2#ZHARTSTONE RD	KAKABEKA ONT CAN			Port Malabar	516	2756	5	1,443.72	1,443.72	-
A9000956	WILLIAMS, FITZ & S		PHILADELPHIA, PA 19122			Port Malaber	238	2974	8	1,733.86	1,733.86	-
9186513		150-75 87 AVE	JAMAICA NY 11432			Port Malaber	5	2067	9	589.91	289.91	φ.
A9005349	ZAREMBA HANNA	262-83RD ST	BROOKLYN, NY 11209			Port Malabar	532	88 87	8	1,364.02	1,354.02	-
X000754	CARET MARIET	3690 000 30 1500	A I DEPONDED NO EL MARTE			Silver Sering Stores	361	1159	=	96 509	807.38	-
AX001200	EDWARDS GARY & N	BOX 418 MANNIX RD	PERU NY 12972			Silver Spring Shores		153	9	46284	462.84	-
AX004213		1 JOYCE CT	PLATTSBURGH NY 12901			Silver Spring Shores	•	1182		606,33	608.33	-
X001230		14 ARLINGTON ROAD	UTICA NY 13601			Silver Spring Shores		1140	8	579.14	579.14	-
AX002189		1045 KANIA ROAD #6	AMSTERDAM, NY 12010			Silver Spring Shores		# 35	7	439.31	439.31	\$
AX004551		15 COLONIAL DR	TONAWANDA, NY 14150			Silver Spring Shores		1602	-		529.78	-
AX003938	VILAR, JULIO &	329 WHUDSON AVE	ENGLEWOOD, NJ 07631			Silver Spring Shares	42	1637	- 1	360.00	360.00	-
Totals	346										\$292,140.30	