UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re:) Chapter 11
NATIONSRENT, corporation,	<pre>INC., a Delaware et al.,</pre>) Case No. 01-11628(PJW)) Jointly Administered
	Debtors.))
NATIONSRENT, corporation,	INC., a Delaware)))
	Plaintiff,)
v.) Adv. Proc. No. 02-02234
JOHN I. SHEF	FIELD,)
	Defendant.))
NATIONSRENT, corporation,	INC., a Delaware)))
	Plaintiff,)
v.) Adv. Proc. No. 02-02235
CLIFTON E. SI	HEFFIELD,)
	Defendant.)) _)
NATIONSRENT, corporation,	INC., a Delaware)))
	Plaintiff,)
v.) Adv. Proc. No. 02-04671
VOLVO CONSTRURENTS, INC.,	JCTION EQUIPMENT))
	Defendant.	,))

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Lisa C. McLaughlin Michael F. Duggan Phillips, Goldman & Spence, P.A. Rebecca L. Scalio 1200 North Broom Street Wilmington, Delaware 19806

P.A. One Rodney Square Counsel for Defendants John I. Wilmington, Delaware 19899 Sheffield and Clifton E. Sheffield

Francis A. Monaco, Jr. Rachel B. Mersky Walsh, Monzack and Monaco, P.A. 1201 Orange Street, Suite 400 P.O. Box 2031 Wilmington, Delaware 19899-2031

Michael J. Lockerby

Barry T. Meek Jennifer A. Minear Michael G. Wilson Hunton & Williams Riverfront Plaza, East Tower

951 East Byrd Street Richmond, Virginia 23219-4074

Counsel for Defendant Volvo Construction Equipment Rents, Inc.

Paul E. Harner Mark A. Cody Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue 77 West Wacker Chicago, Illinois 60601

Richards, Layton & Finger,

Daniel J. DeFranceschi

Jeffrey L. Moyer

David A. Felice

J. Kevin Cogan Robert W. Edmund Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue 41 South High Street 1900 Huntington Center Columbus, Ohio 43215

Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors in Possession

Dated: June 18, 2003

WALSH, J.

Before the Court in the above-captioned three adversary proceedings are motions to consolidate the adversary proceedings (the "Motions") filed by defendants John I. Sheffield (Adv. Proc. # 02-2234, Doc. # 6), Clifton E. Sheffield (Adv. Proc. # 02-2235, Doc. # 14)(collectively with John I. Sheffield, the "Sheffields"), and Volvo Construction Equipment Rents, Inc., (Adv. Proc. # 02-4671, Doc. # 16) ("Volvo"). For the reasons set forth below, the Motions will be granted.

BACKGROUND

Clifton Sheffield is the former owner of Sheffield Equipment Co., Inc. ("Sheffield Equipment"), which was engaged in the business of renting construction equipment. In September 1998, debtor NationsRent, Inc. ("NationsRent") purchased Sheffield Equipment and Clifton Sheffield became a NationsRent employee. His brother John Sheffield also became a NationsRent employee. The Sheffields and NationsRent entered into employment agreements ("EA") that made them NationsRent employees for three years and also contained two-year post-

employment non-compete and non-solicitation provisions.¹ In March 2000, Clifton Sheffield terminated his employment with NationsRent and opened Florida Contractor Rentals, Inc. ("Florida Rentals"), a competing business. John Sheffield also terminated his employment with NationsRent and followed his brother to Florida Rentals. Volvo is the franchisor of Florida Rentals.

DISCUSSION

Rule 42(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure gives a court "broad powers to consolidate actions involving common questions of law or fact if, in its discretion, such consolidation would facilitate the administration of justice." United States v. Dentsply Int'l., Inc., 190 F.R.D. 140, 142-3 (D.Del. 1999) (citation omitted). In determining whether to consolidate actions, courts balance "the savings of time and effort gained through consolidation against the inconvenience, delay, or expense that it might cause." Id. at 143. After accounting for the relevant factors, a motion to consolidate

¹The parties dispute whether the non-compete/non-solicitation provisions were intended to be effective for two years from the termination of the Sheffields' employment with NationsRent or whether they were to be in effect for five years from the signing of the Employment Agreements.

²Rule 42(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is made applicable to adversary proceedings by Rule 7042 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure.

"should be granted if there are common questions of fact or law in the case[s]." Nigro v. Pittsburgh Post-Gazette (In reappliance Store), 171 B.R. 525, 528 (Bankr.W.D.Pa. 1994).

Here, NationsRent's claim against John Sheffield sounds in contract for breach of certain covenants contained in the EA between himself and NationsRent. NationsRent's claim against Clifton Sheffield likewise sounds in contract, alleging the breach of certain covenants contained in the EA and an asset himself agreement between and NationsRent. purchase NationsRent's claim against Volvo sounds in tort and alleges that Volvo aided and abetted the Sheffields' breaches of their agreements with NationsRent. It further alleges tortious interference with contractual relations and tortious interference with current and prospective business relations.

There are common questions of fact at issue in the three actions pending before this Court. The resolution of NationsRent's claims will require the discovery, development, and presentation of roughly the same facts. Resolution of the claims will necessarily involve the same documents and witnesses. NationsRent's claims against Volvo are directly dependent on how its claims against the Sheffields are resolved: if the Sheffields are deemed not to have violated any provisions of their agreements with NationsRent, NationsRent's claims

against Volvo must fail. Additionally, the agreements between NationsRent and each of the Sheffields are virtually identical, as are the allegedly breaching actions of each brother, rendering the terms and enforceability of the EAs at issue in all three cases.

The degree of commonality of facts in each of the cases makes consolidation appropriate. There is therefore no need to inconvenience the parties and witnesses, waste the resources of the parties, and burden this Court with duplicate briefing and repetitive arguments on the same legal issues arising out of the same set of facts. All three cases remain in the earliest stages of litigation; consolidation will not prejudice any party.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, the Motions filed by John Sheffield, Clifton Sheffield, and Volvo against NationsRent are granted.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re:) Chapter 11
NATIONSRENT, corporation,	<pre>INC., a Delaware et al.,</pre>) Case No. 01-11628(PJW)) Jointly Administered
	Debtors.))
NATIONSRENT, corporation,	INC., a Delaware)))
	Plaintiff,)
v.) Adv. Proc. No. 02-02234
JOHN I. SHEF	FIELD,)
	Defendant.))
NATIONSRENT, corporation,	INC., a Delaware)))
	Plaintiff,)
v.) Adv. Proc. No. 02-02235
CLIFTON E. SI	HEFFIELD,)
	Defendant.)) _)
NATIONSRENT, corporation,	INC., a Delaware)))
	Plaintiff,)
v.) Adv. Proc. No. 02-04671
VOLVO CONSTRURENTS, INC.,	JCTION EQUIPMENT))
	Defendant.	,))

ORDER

For the reasons set forth in the Court's Memorandum Opinion of this date, with respect to each of the above-captioned adversary proceedings, the motions filed by defendants John I. Sheffield (Adv. Proc. # 02-2234, Doc. # 6), Clifton E. Sheffield (Adv. Proc. # 02-2235, Doc. # 14) and Volvo Construction Equipment Rents, Inc., (Adv. Proc. # 02-4671, Doc. # 16) to consolidate the adversary proceedings are GRANTED.

Peter J. Walsh United States Bankruptcy Judge

Dated: June 18, 2003