UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re:) Chapter 7
DISCOVERY ZONE, INC., et al.,) Case No. 99-0941(PJW)
Debtors.) Joint Administration
MONTAGUE S. CLAYBROOK, Chapter 7 Trustee of Discovery Zone, Inc., DZ Party, Inc., Discovery Zone (Puerto Rico), Inc. and Discovery Zone Licensing, Inc.,))))))
Plaintiff,)
v.) Adv. Proc. No. 01-1491 (PJW)
PIZZA HUT, INC.,)
Defendant.)

CORRECTION TO MEMORANDUM OPINION DATED OCTOBER 3, 2003

Michael DeBaecke	William P. Bowden
Blank Rome LLP	Joseph C. Handlon
1201 Market Street, Suite 800	Ashby & Geddes
Wilmington, DE 19801	222 Delaware Avenue, $17^{ m th}$
	Floor
	Wilmington, DE 19801
Alan C. Gershenson	
Blank Rome LLP	Guy S. Neal
One Logan Square	Sidley Austin Brown & Wood
	LLP
Philadelphia, PA 19103	1501 K. Street, NW
<u>-</u>	Washington, DC 20005
Counsel for Montague S.	
Claybrook, Chapter 7	Attorneys for Pizza Hut,
	Inc.
Trustee	

Attached hereto is a corrected page 7 of the Court's Memorandum Opinion of October 3, 2003.

Peter J. Walsh United States Bankruptcy Judge

Dated: November 17, 2003 unsecured; and (3) the creditor must not have been fully compensated by the debtor as of the date the debtor filed the bankruptcy petition. 880 F.2d 679, 680 (3d Cir. 1989); In re Contempri Homes, 269 B.R. 124, 130 (Bankr. M.D. Pa. 2001) (citing id.). If the creditor satisfies these elements, a setoff is permitted in the amount of the new value and the recoverable amount is reduced. See Ross v. Phila. Housing Auth. (In re Ross), No. 97-0063, 1997 WL 331830, at *4 (Bankr. E.D. Pa. June

10, 1997) (citing N.Y. City Shoes, 880 F.2d at 680).

Section 547(c)(4) is supported by two policy considerations. First, the rule encourages third parties to continue doing business with the debtor by limiting their risk of loss and encouraging the retention of the payments they received. <u>In re CCG 1355, Inc.</u>, 276 B.R. 377, 386 n.20 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2002) (citing In re Micro Innovations Corp., 185 F.3d 329, 332 (5th Cir. 1999)). Furthermore, the continued business transactions might even help prevent the debtor from ever filing bankruptcy. See id. Second, § 547(c)(4) codifies the concept that the estate, and consequently the other creditors, are not harmed by the transfers. Id. If the transfer is within this exception, it was made in exchange for new value and the new value augments the estate in the same proportion as the value of the transfer; therefore, the estate does not suffer any injury. <u>Id.</u>

In this case, the only issue to be resolved is the second